

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,793		02/11/2004	John A. Nguyen	13854-064001	3151
26181	7590	06/02/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2832	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 9, there lacks sufficient structure to support the functional language of "wherein the distance between the base and the conical coil inductor is selected such that parasitic coupling paths between the conical coil inductor and the base are substantially minimized." Applicant should clarify the "selection" of the distance between the base and the conical coil inductor in order to have "parasitic coupling paths between the conical coil inductor and the base substantially minimized." The term "substantially" is a relative term. Claims 2-9 inherit the defects of the parent claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, as best understood in view of the rejections under 35 USC 112 second paragraph, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Medved [US 1,727,932].

Medved discloses an inductor device [figures 1-3] comprising:

- at least one conical coil inductor [2] having a broad end with radius r1 and a narrow end

with radius r2, the conical coil inductor also having a board end terminal and a narrow end

terminal [1];

- a base [4] with substantially flat surface; and

- at least one support structure [6, 7, 8, 12, 12A, 12B], such that the conical coil inductor

being supported by the at least one support above the base at a distance greater than the broad

end radius.

Medved discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific "selected" distance

between the base and the conical coil inductor.

The specific "selected" distance between the base and the conical coil inductor would

have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of controlling the inductance and

magnetic field of the device.

Regarding claim 2, Medved discloses the narrow end terminal of the conical coil

positioned at a minimum height above the substantially flat surface of the base.

Regarding claim 6, Medved discloses the conical coil inductor being supported by the at

least one support such that an imaginary center line through which the conical coil inductor is

substantially parallel to the base, and also such that the broad end of the conical coil inductor is

supported above the base.

Regarding claim 7, Medved discloses the at least one support and the base formed of

dielectric/insulative material.

Regarding claims 3-4 and 8-9, Medved discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific connections of the narrow and broad end terminals and the specific material of at least one support.

The specific connections of the narrow and broad end terminals and the specific material for the support would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended application and environment used.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,793

Art Unit: 2832

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN THE

Tuga Nguyên